

**The International Vocabulary of
Metrology
Basic and general Concepts and
associated Terms - VIM :
the JCGM 200 Guide
[ISO/IEC Guide 99]**

Paul De Bièvre

**Independent Consultant on
Metrology in Chemistry**

**IUPAC Delegate to JCGM, and to JCGM -
WG 2 (VIM)**

PARIS AMCTM 2008-06-23/24/25

condition for consistent
translation of terms in other
languages used on the
“intercontinental” scene

one must *understand* the
concept

behind the term

before being able

to translate the term (!)

Some key definitions of the revised

VIM :

2.3 measurand

quantity intended to be measured

1.1 quantity

property of a phenomenon, body or substance,

where the property has a magnitude that can be expressed as a number and a reference

1.10 base unit

measurement unit that is adopted by convention for a **base quantity**

Note 3 For number of entities, the

introduces the *intention* of the analyst
(“*intended* to be measured”)

similarly,

“fitness for *intended* use” is used further on
rather than
“fitness for purpose”

2.6 measurement procedure

detailed description of a **measurement** according to one or more **measurement principles** and to a given **measurement method**, based on a **measurement model** and including any calculation to obtain a **measurement result**

Note 2 A **measurement procedure** can include a statement concerning a **target measurement uncertainty**

- prevents to state that a measurement method has a constant measurement uncertainty, whether it is carried out carefully or sloppily
- a measurement procedure contains a detailed description and therefore obliges to decide a priori on its *intended* use as a
 - “reference measurement procedure”, or as a
 - “primary measurement procedure” (see further)
- a measurement method has no (approximately) constant measurement uncertainty, but a given measurement procedure has
- introduces the concept of “target measurement uncertainty” as a measure of “fitness for *intended* use”

2.7 reference measurement procedure

measurement procedure accepted as providing

measurement results fit for their *intended* use in

assessing **measurement trueness** of

measured quantity values obtained from other

measurement procedures for **quantities** of the same

kind, in **calibration**, or in characterizing **reference**

materials

2.8 primary reference measurement procedure

reference measurement procedure used to

obtain a **measurement result** without relation to a

measurement standard for a **quantity** of the

same **kind**

Note 1 The Consultative Committee for Amount

of Substance – Metrology in Chemistry (CCQM) uses

the term “primary method of measurement” for this

this definition prevents to use “primary” for

- “prestige” reasons
- political reasons
- commercial reasons

this also applies to the (mis)use of the concept

‘primary measurement standard’

(see further)

2.9 measurement result

set of **quantity values** being attributed to a **measurand** together with any other available information

Note 2 a measurement result is generally expressed as a single **measured quantity value** and a **measurement uncertainty**

2.3.6 measurement uncertainty

non-negative parameter characterizing the dispersion of the **quantity values** being attributed to a **measurand**, based on the information used

2.28 Type A evaluation of measurement uncertainty

evaluation of a component of **measurement uncertainty** by a statistical analysis of **measured quantity values** obtained under defined measurement conditions

2.29 Type B evaluation of measurement uncertainty

evaluation of a component of **measurement uncertainty** determined by means other than a statistical analysis of

Type A evaluation of measurement uncertainty

These very important definitions put the ultimate task –and responsibility– for the measurement result

(back) to the analyst responsible

because his/her professional skill and judgement is essential:

GUM 3.4.8: “The evaluation of uncertainty is neither a routine task nor a purely mathematical one; it depends on detailed knowledge of the nature of the measurand and of the measurement”

GUM 4.3.2: “Type B evaluation of standard uncertainty ... calls for insight based on experience and general knowledge, and is a skill to be learned

2.40 **calibration hierarchy**

sequence of **calibrations** from a reference to the final **measuring system**, where the outcome of each calibration depends on the outcome of the previous calibration

Note 1 **M e a s u r e m e n t u n c e r t a i n t y**
necessarily increases along the sequence of calibrations

2.41 **metrological traceability**

property of a **measurement result** whereby the result can be related to a reference through a documented unbroken chain of **calibrations**, each contributing to the **measurement uncertainty**

2.42 **metrological traceability chain**

sequence of **measurement standards** and **calibrations** that is used to relate a **measurement result** to a reference

Note 1 A metrological traceability chain is defined through a **calibration hierarchy**

Note 2 A metrological traceability chain is used to establish **metrological traceability** of a measurement result

2.43 **metrological traceability to a measurement unit**

metrological traceability where the reference is the definition of a **measurement**

- strictly speaking, ultimate metrological traceability is not to the measurement unit chosen, but to the definition of that measurement unit, nor is it –ultimately– to a practical realization of that measurement unit but *to the definition of that measurement unit through its practical realization*
- metrological traceability is a *prerequisite* to evaluation of measurement uncertainty

2.46 metrological comparability of measurement results

comparability of **measurement results**, for **quantities** of a given **kind**, that are metrologically traceable to the same reference

Note 1 A metrological traceability chain is defined through a **calibration hierarchy**

Note 2 Metrological comparability of measurement results does not necessitate that the **measured quantity values** and associated **measurement uncertainties** compared be of the same magnitude

2.43 metrological compatibility of measurement results

property of a set of measurement results for a specified **measurand**, such that the absolute value of the difference of any pair of **measured quantity values** from two different measurement results is smaller than some chosen multiple of the **standard measurement uncertainty** of that difference

- metrological comparability of measurement results is caused by metrological traceability to the same reference
- metrological compatibility is related to metrological equivalence of measurement results
- metrological comparability of measurement results is “vertical” in the same metrological traceability chain because it has to do with metrological traceability, whereas
- metrological equivalence of measurement results is “horizontal” because it has to do with different metrological traceability chains

5.1 measurement standard

realization of the definition of a given **quantity**, with stated **quantity value** and associated **measurement uncertainty**, used as a reference

Note 7 The word “embodiment” is sometimes used in the English language instead of “realization”

5.4 primary measurement standard

measurement standard established using a **primary reference measurement procedure**, or created as an artifact, chosen by convention

5.1.2 calibrator

with sincere wishes to all

for a good discussion at

AMCTM 2008

under the guidance of our
Chair

Franco Pavese